arXiv:1608.05290v1 [math.CO] 18 Aug 2016

NOTE ON RAMSEY THEOREM FOR POSETS WITH LINEAR EXTENSIONS

ANDRII ARMAN AND VOJTĚCH RÖDL

Abstract. In this note we consider a Ramsey type result for partially ordered sets. In particular we give an alternative short proof of a theorem for a posets with multiple linear extensions recently obtained by Solecki and Zhao in [6].

1. Preliminary definitions

A poset is a pair (X, P^X), where X is a set and P^X is a partial order on X. We consider partial orders that are strict, i.e. not reflexive.

We say that a partial order L^X on X *extends* a partial order P^X on X if for all $x, y \in X$

$$xP^Xy \Rightarrow xL^Xy.$$

If (X, P^X) is a poset and $U \subset X$ we denote by $P^X|_U$ the *restriction* of P^X onto U.

Below, we consider collections $\mathcal{L}_k^X = (L_1^X, L_2^X, \dots, L_k^X)$,where each of L_i^X is a linear order on X.

Definition 1. *We denote by $PL^{(k)}$ the set consisting of all triplets $(X, P^X, \mathcal{L}_k^X)$, where (X, P^X) is a poset and each L_i^X for $i \in [k]$ is a linear order that extends P^X.*

Definition 2. *Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in PL^{(k)}$, where $\mathcal{X} = (X, P^X, \mathcal{L}_k^X)$ and $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, P^Y, \mathcal{L}_k^Y)$. We write $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ if*

- *$X \subseteq Y$ and $P^Y|_X$ extends P^X.*
- *$L_i^Y|_X = L_i^X$ for all $i \in [k]$.*

Definition 3. *Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in PL^{(k)}$, where $\mathcal{X} = (X, P^X, \mathcal{L}_k^X)$ and $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, P^Y, \mathcal{L}_k^Y)$. We say that a mapping $\pi : X \to Y$ is order preserving for $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ if for any $i \in [k]$ and any $x, y \in X$*

Date: August 19, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C55 (primary), 06A07 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Ramsey theorem, posets.

The second author was supported by NSF grant DMS 1301698.

we have

$$xL_i^X y \Leftrightarrow \pi(x)L_i^Y \pi(y) \quad \text{and} \quad xP^X y \Leftrightarrow \pi(x)P^Y \pi(y).$$

Definition 4. *We say that π is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{X} \in PL^{(k)}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \in PL^{(k)}$ if it is order preserving bijection. We say that $\mathcal{X} \in PL^{(k)}$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \in PL^{(k)}$ if there is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{X}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$.*

Definition 5. *Let $k > 0$ and $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in PL^{(k)}$. We say that $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \in PL^{(k)}$ is a copy of $\mathcal{X}$ in $\mathcal{Y}$ if $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}$. For $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in PL^{(k)}$ denote by $\binom{\mathcal{Y}}{\mathcal{X}}$ the set of all copies of $\mathcal{X}$ in $\mathcal{Y}$.*

For any $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \in \binom{\mathcal{Y}}{\mathcal{X}}$ there is unique order preserving mapping $\pi : X \to \tilde{X}$. On other hand, any order preserving mapping $\pi : X \to Y$ induces a copy $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = \pi(\mathcal{X}) \in \binom{\mathcal{Y}}{\mathcal{X}}$. We identify each $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \in \binom{\mathcal{Y}}{\mathcal{X}}$ with corresponding order preserving mapping π and will say that π is a copy of $\mathcal{X}$ in $\mathcal{Y}$ instead of saying that $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is a copy of $\mathcal{X}$ in $\mathcal{Y}$ with corresponding order preserving mapping π.

We refer to the following theorem as to Ramsey theorem for posets with one linear extension.

Theorem 6. *For any integer r and any $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in PL^{(1)}$ there is $\mathcal{Z} \in PL^{(1)}$, such that for any r-colouring of set $\binom{\mathcal{Z}}{\mathcal{X}}$ there is $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$, a copy of $\mathcal{Y}$ in $\mathcal{Z}$, such that $\binom{\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}}{\mathcal{X}}$ is monochromatic.*

Ramsey properties of the class of partially ordered sets were considered in [2] and [4], where all partially ordered sets with P-Ramsey properties were characterised (see also [3]). Subsequently some extensions and related results were obtained in [5] and [1], using different method.

Next theorem is a product version of the Theorem 6, that we are going to use in Section 3. Proof of this theorem is based on a standard folkloristic argument. For similar results of this type see e.g. [5].

Theorem 7. *For any $\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{Y}_i \in PL^{(1)}$ with $i \in [k]$ there are $\mathcal{Z}_i \in PL^{(1)}$ with $i \in [k]$, such that for any 2-colouring of set $\binom{\mathcal{Z}_1}{\mathcal{X}_1} \times \cdots \times \binom{\mathcal{Z}_k}{\mathcal{X}_k}$ there are $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_i$, a copies of $\mathcal{Y}_i$ in $\mathcal{Z}_i$ for $i \in [k]$, such that $\binom{\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_1}{\mathcal{X}_1} \times \cdots \times \binom{\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_k}{\mathcal{X}_k}$ is monochromatic.*

To distinguish between the objects of $PL^{(1)}$, which will play a special role in our proof, and $PL^{(k)}$ for $k \geqslant 2$, from now on, we use letters $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ for elements of $PL^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{C}$ for elements of $PL^{(k)}$.

Based on Theorem 7, in Section 3 we are going to prove the following result, first obtained in [6] .

Theorem 8. *For any integer k any $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in PL^{(k)}$ there is $\mathcal{C} \in PL^{(k)}$, such that for any colouring 2-colouring of set $\binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{A}}$ there is $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, a copy of $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{C}$, such that $\binom{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}{\mathcal{A}}$ is monochromatic.*

2. Properties of join and canonical copies

First, we define the join of k elements of $PL^{(1)}$.

Definition 9. *Let $\mathcal{Z}_i = (Z_i, P^{Z_i}, L^{Z_i}) \in PL^{(1)}$ for $i \in [k]$ ans set $C = \Pi_{i=1}^k Z_i$.*
Define partial order $<_C$ on set C by $\overline{x} <_C \overline{y}$ if $x_i P^{Z_i} y_i$ for all $i \in [k]$.
For all $i \in [k]$ define shifted lexicographic orders $<_{lx_i}$ on set $\Pi_{i=1}^k Z_i$, by

$$\overline{x} <_{lx_i} \overline{y} \Leftrightarrow x_{i+\delta} L^{Z_{i+\delta}} y_{i+\delta},$$

where δ is the smallest non-negative number j, for which $x_{i+j} \neq y_{i+j}$ (with addition mod k). Let $\mathcal{L}_k^C = (<_{lx_1}, <_{lx_2}, \ldots, <_{lx_k})$. Then the join of $\mathcal{Z}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{Z}_k$ is

$$\mathcal{C} = (C, P^C, \mathcal{L}_k^C).$$

For notation, we will use $\mathcal{C} = \sqcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{Z}_i$.

Note, that for $\mathcal{Z}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{Z}_k \in PL^{(1)}$ we have that $\sqcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{Z}_2 \in PL^{(k)}$. Indeed, since L^{Z_i} extends P^{Z_i} we infer that $<_{lx_i}$ also extends $<_C$ for $i \in [k]$.

Claim 10. *Let $\mathcal{Z}_i = (Z_i, P^{Z_i}, L^{Z_i}) \in PL^{(1)}$ for $i \in [k]$ and let $\mathcal{A} = (X, P^X, \mathcal{L}_k^X) \in PL^{(k)}$. Set $\mathcal{C} = \sqcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{Z}_i$ and let $\pi_i : X \to Z_i$ be a copy of $\mathcal{X}_i = (X, P^X, L_i^X)$ in $\mathcal{Z}_i$ for $i \in [k]$. Then the image of the mapping $\pi : X \to C$, defined by*

$$\pi(x) = (\pi_1(x), \pi_2(x), \ldots, \pi_k(x))$$

for each $x \in X$, is a copy of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{A}}$.

Remark 11.

- *We say that the image of the mapping π from Claim 10, is a canonical copy of $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{C} = \sqcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{Z}_k$.*
- *By $\binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{B}}_{can} \subseteq \binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{B}}$ we denote a set of all canonical copies of $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. We need to verify that $\pi : X \to C$ is order preserving for $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$. Indeed, we observe that if $x, y \in X$, then fact that $\pi_i : X \to Z_i$ preserves P^X for $i \in [k]$ combined with definition of $\mathcal{C}$ yields

$$xP^Xy \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in [k] : \pi_i(x)P^{Z_i}\pi_i(y) \Leftrightarrow \pi(x) <_C \pi(y).$$

Since π_i preserves L_i^X for $i \in [k]$, we have

$$xL_i^Xy \Leftrightarrow \pi_i(x)L_i^{Z_i}\pi_i(y) \Leftrightarrow \pi(x) <_{lx_i} \pi(y)$$

for $i \in [k]$. Hence, π preserves P^X and L_i^X for $i \in [k]$.

□

For the rest of this section we assume that $\mathcal{C} = \sqcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{Z}_i = (C, <_C, \mathcal{L}_k^C)$, $\mathcal{A} = (X, P^X, \mathcal{L}_k^X)$ and $\mathcal{B} = (Y, P^Y, \mathcal{L}_k^Y)$.

Note 12. *By construction,* $\binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{A}}_{can}$ *is in 1-1 correspondence with the set* $\Pi_{i=1}^k \binom{\mathcal{Z}_i}{\mathcal{X}_i}$ *and the function* $\lambda : (\pi_1(X), \dots, \pi_k(X)) \mapsto \pi(X)$ *is the bijection between sets* $\Pi_{i=1}^k \binom{\mathcal{Z}_i}{\mathcal{X}_i}$ *and* $\binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{A}}_{can}$.

The following Claim states that if π is a canonical copy of $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a copy of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{B}$, then $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ is a canonical copy of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{C}$.

Claim 13. *If* $\pi \in \binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{B}}_{can}$ *and* $\tau \in \binom{\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{A}}$, *then* $\sigma = \pi \circ \tau \in \binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{A}}_{can}$.

Proof. Since $\pi : Y \to C$ is a canonical copy, we have that $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)$, where $\pi_i : Y \to Z_i$ are copies of Y in Z_i for $i \in [k]$. Define $\sigma_i = \pi_i \circ \tau$ for $i =\in [k]$. It is sufficient to prove that for any $i \in [k]$ σ_i is order preserving for $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_i$.

Indeed, since τ is order preserving for $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ and π_i is order preserving for preserves (Y, P^Y, L_i^Y) and $\mathcal{Z}_i$ for any $i \in [k]$, we have for any $x, y \in X$ and for $i \in [k]$

$$xP^Xy \Leftrightarrow \tau(x)P^Y\tau(y) \Leftrightarrow \pi_i(\tau(x))P^{Z_i}\pi_i(\tau(y)) \Leftrightarrow \sigma_i(x)P^{Z_i}\sigma_i(y),$$

$$xL_i^Xy \Leftrightarrow \tau(x)L_i^Y\tau(y) \Leftrightarrow \pi_i(\tau(x))L^{Z_i}\pi_i(\tau(y)) \Leftrightarrow \sigma_i(x)L^{Z_i}\sigma_i(y).$$

Consequently, for $i \in [k]$, σ_i is order preserving for $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_i$, and $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a canonical copy of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{C}$. □

Our final Claim states that if $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is a canonical copy of $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{C}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a copy of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a canonical copy of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{C}$.

Claim 14. *If* $\pi \in \binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{B}}_{can}$ *and* $\sigma \in \binom{\pi(\mathcal{B})}{\mathcal{A}}$, *then* $\sigma \in \binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{A}}_{can}$.

Proof. Since π is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{B}$ and $\pi(\mathcal{B})$, then π^{-1} exists and is order preserving for $\pi(\mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{B}$. Therefore, $\tau = \pi^{-1} \circ \sigma$ is order preserving mapping for $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. Finally, Claim 13 applied for π and τ gives that $\pi \circ \tau = \sigma$ is canonical copy of $\mathcal{A}$.

□

3. Proof of Theorem 8

Let $\mathcal{A} = (X, P^X, \mathcal{L}_k^X)$ and $\mathcal{B} = (Y, P^Y, \mathcal{L}_k^Y)$ be given. Applying Theorem 7 with $\mathcal{X}_i = (X, P^X, L_i^X)$ for $i \in [k]$ and $\mathcal{Y}_i = (Y, P^Y, L_i^Y)$ for $i \in [k]$ we obtain $\mathcal{Z}_i = (Z_i, P^{Z_i}, L_i^{Z_i})$ for $i \in [k]$.

Set $\mathcal{C} = \sqcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{Z}_i$. Let $\chi : \binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{A}} \mapsto \{red, blue\}$ be a colouring. Since $\binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{A}}_{can} \subseteq \binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{A}}$, colouring χ induces $\{red, blue\}$ colouring of $\binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{A}}_{can}$. By Note 12, sets in $\binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{A}}_{can}$ and elements of $\Pi_{i=1}^k \binom{\mathcal{Z}_i}{\mathcal{X}_i}$ are in 1-1 correspondence and thus $\lambda^{-1} \circ \chi$ induces a colouring of $\Pi_{i=1}^k \binom{\mathcal{Z}_i}{\mathcal{X}_i}$. By a choice of $\mathcal{Z}_i$ (recall that $\mathcal{Z}_i \in PL^{(1)}$, $i \in [k]$) there are $\tilde{Y}_i \in \binom{\mathcal{Z}_i}{\mathcal{Y}_i}$ for $i \in [k]$, such that $\Pi_{i=1}^k \binom{\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_i}{\mathcal{X}_i}$ is monochromatic and w.l.o.g we assume that all elements of $\Pi_{i=1}^k \binom{\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_i}{\mathcal{X}_i}$ are red.

Let $\pi_i : \mathcal{Y}_i \to \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_i$ be the corresponding isomorphism between $\mathcal{Y}_i$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_i$ for $i \in [k]$ and let $\pi : B \to C$ be a mapping defined by $\pi(y) = (\pi_1(y), \ldots, \pi_k(y))$ for each $y \in Y$. Then, by Claim 10, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \pi(\mathcal{B})$ is a copy of $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ be a copy of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, then, by Claim 14, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a canonical copy of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{C}$.

Let σ be isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, then $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k)$, where σ_i is order preserving for $\mathcal{X}_i$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_i$ for any $i \in [k]$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \in \binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{A}}_{can}$ and all elements of $\Pi_{i=1}^k \binom{\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_i}{\mathcal{X}_i}$ are red, we get that $(\lambda^{-1} \circ \chi)(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ is red and consequently $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is red. Therefore, set $\binom{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}{\mathcal{A}}$ is monochromatic.

References

[1] W. L. Fouché, *Symmetry and the Ramsey degree of posets*, Discrete Math. **167/168** (1997), 309–315, DOI 10.1016/S0012-365X(96)00236-1. 15th British Combinatorial Conference (Stirling, 1995). MR1446753 ↑1

[2] J. Nešetřil and V. Rödl, *Combinatorial partitions of finite posets and lattices—Ramsey lattices*, Algebra Universalis **19** (1984), no. 1, 106–119, DOI 10.1007/BF01191498. MR748915 ↑1

[3] J. Nešetřil and V. Rödl, *Ramsey partial orders from acyclic graphs*. arxiv.org:1608.04662. ↑1

[4] M. Paoli, W. T. Trotter Jr., and J. W. Walker, *Graphs and orders in Ramsey theory and in dimension theory*, Graphs and order (Banff, Alta., 1984), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 147, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1985, pp. 351–394. MR818500 ↑1

[5] H. J. Prömel, *Ramsey theory for discrete structures*, Springer, Cham, 2013. With a foreword by Angelika Steger. MR3157030 ↑1

[6] S. Solecki and M. Zhao, *A Ramsey theorem for partial orders with linear extensions*. arXiv:1409.5846. ↑(document), 1

Department of mathematics, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 2N2, Canada
E-mail address: andrew0arman@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA
E-mail address: rodl@mathcs.emory.edu